Deloitte.





Southend-on-Sea City Council

Report to the Audit Committee on the 2022/23 audit

Issued on 15 April 2024 for the meeting on 24 April 2024

Deloitte Confidential: Government and Public Services – For Approved External Use Only

Contents

01 Final report

Introduction	3
Responsibilities of the Audit Committee	5
Our audit explained	6
Significant risks	7
Other areas of audit focus	12
Value for money	14
Your control environment and findings	17
Audit Adjustments	21
Our audit report	23
Your annual report	24
Purpose of our report and responsibility statement	25

02 Appendices

Independence and fees	27
Our other responsibilities explained	28

Introduction

The key messages in this report

Audit quality is our number one priority. We plan our audit to focus on audit quality and have set the following audit quality objectives for this audit:

- A robust challenge of the key judgements taken in the preparation of the financial statements.
- A strong understanding of your internal control environment.
- A well planned and delivered audit that raises findings early with those charged with governance.

I have pleasure in presenting our final report to the Audit Committee of Southend-on-Sea City Council (the Council) for the 2022/23 audit. The scope of our audit was set out within our planning report presented to the committee in October 2023.

Status of our Statement of Accounts audit

Our audit is substantially complete subject to the following matters:

- Finalisation of our internal reviews including valuation of fixed assets, pensions and classification of reserves;
- Review and tie through of final financial statements;
- Completion of internal quality assurance procedures;
- · Receipt of signed management representation letter; and
- Our review of events since 31 March 2023 through to signing.

We have included a section in this report providing observations arising from the work we have undertaken on the areas of significant risk and other areas of audit focus reported to you in our audit planning report.

Status of our Value for Money audit

Our Value for Money work is ongoing and will be reported to the Audit Committee in our Auditor's Annual Report for 2022/2023, planned for the next Audit Committee meeting. Areas identified as 'Risks of Significant Weakness in Arrangements' as part of our planning work are set out on Page 16.

We are currently finalising our work to conclude on whether any significant weaknesses in arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources existed for 2022/23. Any significant weakness identified will be reported by exception in our financial statement audit opinion.

Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)

We are required to report our overall audit opinion and key issues from our audit to the National Audit Office (NAO) following completion of the audit. However, the NAO have not yet confirmed for 2022/23, bodies which may be subject to additional procedures for reporting to the NAO to gain comfort over the WGA. Therefore, we are not able to confirm completion of the audit in this regard.

Introduction

The key messages in this report (continued)

Conclusions from our testing

- The key judgements in the audit process related to:
 - · valuation of investment properties and Property Plant and Equipment (hereafter referred to as PPE);
 - · capitalisation of expenditure;
 - · valuation of pension assets & liabilities;
 - · valuation of the long-term debtor in relation to Porters Place Southend-on-Sea LLP; and
 - valuation of infrastructure assets.
- We have not identified any significant uncorrected audit adjustments or disclosure deficiencies.
- We have summarised other corrected and uncorrected audit adjustments on page 19.
- We anticipate issuing an unmodified audit opinion on the financial statements with no reference to any matters in respect of the Annual Governance Statement. We may need to include reference to matters in respect of the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources following the conclusion of our work.

Narrative Report & Annual Governance Statement

- We have reviewed the Council's Annual Report & Annual Governance Statement to consider whether it is misleading or inconsistent with other information known to us from our audit work.
- The Annual Governance Statement complies with the Delivering Good Governance guidance issued by CIPFA/SOLACE.
- We have no matters to raise with you in respect of the Narrative Report.

Duties as public auditor

- We did not receive any queries or objections from local electors this year.
- We have not identified any matters that would require us to issue a public interest report. We have not had to exercise any other audit powers under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Mohammed Ramzan Audit lead

Responsibilities of the Audit Committee

Helping you fulfil your responsibilities

Why do we interact with the Audit Committee?

To communicate audit scope

To provide timely and relevant observations

To provide additional information to help you fulfil your broader responsibilities

As a result of regulatory change in recent years, the role of the Audit Committee has significantly expanded. We set out here a summary of the core areas of Audit Committee responsibility to provide a reference in respect of these broader responsibilities and highlight throughout the document where there is key information which helps the Audit Committee in fulfilling its remit.

- At the start of each annual audit cycle, ensure that the scope of the external audit is appropriate.
- Make recommendations as to the auditor appointment and implement a policy on the engagement of the external auditor to supply non-audit services.
- Review the internal control and risk management systems (unless expressly addressed by separate board risk committee).
- Explain what actions have been or are being taken to remedy any significant failings or weaknesses.

Oversight of external audit

Integrity of reporting

Internal controls and risks

Oversight of internal audit

Whistle-blowing and fraud

- Impact assessment of key judgements and level of management challenge.
- Review of external audit findings, key judgements, level of misstatements.
- Assess the quality of the internal team, their incentives and the need for supplementary skillsets.
- Assess the completeness of disclosures, including consistency with disclosures on business model and strategy and provide advice in respect of the fair, balanced and understandable statement.

 Monitor and review the effectiveness of the internal audit activities.

 Ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place for the proportionate and independent investigation of any concerns raised by staff in connection with improprieties.

Our audit explained

We tailor our audit to your organisation and your strategy

Identify changes in your business and environment

In our planning report we identified the key changes in your operations and articulated how these impacted our audit approach.

Scoping

Our planning report set out the scoping of our audit in line with the Code of Audit Practice. We have completed our audit in line with our audit plan.

Other findings

As well as our conclusions on the significant risks and our Value for Money work, we are required to report to you our observations on the internal control environment as well as any other findings page 21 from the audit. These are set out from page 17 of this report.

Our audit report

We anticipate issuing an unmodified audit report.

Identify
changes
in your
business
and
environment

Determine materiality

Scoping

Significant risk assessment Conclude on significant risk areas

Other findings

Our audit report

Determine materiality

When planning our audit, we set our overall materiality for the group accounts at £9.10m (2021/22: £8.70m). We have determined our materiality based on 2% of total cost of service expenditure for the 2022/23 year and the final materiality remains unchanged from what we communicated during our planning report. The Council only materiality has been determined at £8.60m (2021/22: £8.27m). Final Group and Council performance materiality was set at £6.82m (2021/22: £6.09m) £6.48m (2021/22: and £5.79m) respectively. We will report to you all misstatements exceeding £0.45m (2021/22:£0.44m) for the Group and £0.43m (2021/22: £0.41m) for the Council.

Significant risk assessment

In our planning report we explained our risk assessment process and detailed the significant risks we have identified on this engagement. These are set out from page 7 of this report.

Conclude on significant risk areas

We draw to the Audit Committee's attention our conclusions on the significant audit risks. In particular the Audit Committee must satisfy themselves that management's judgements are appropriate.

Significant risks

Valuation of property assets

Risk identified

The Council is required to hold dwellings, other land and buildings within Property, Plant and Equipment and Investment Properties at valuation. The valuations are by nature significant estimates which are based on specialist and management assumptions, and which can be subject to material changes in value.

The Authority held £739m of property assets (land and buildings) at 31 March 2023 (£715m as of 31 March 2022) as per the revised accounts. This movement from the prior year is due to revaluation movements as a result of the revaluation exercise during 2022/23 and 2023/24 (i.e. 1 April 2022 & 1 April 2023), reclassifications from assets under construction and material additions and disposals during the year.

The Council updates the valuation of its properties using a rolling revaluation programme. The main assets which were revalued in the year at 1 April 2022 were Schools, Sports Pavilions, Park WCs, Park Messrooms, Day Centres, Marine Activity Centre, Lagoon WCs and at 1 April 2023 were Parks, pier assets, Southend adult community college, Council administrative & cemetery buildings, nursery schools.

Deloitte response and challenge

We are in the process of completing the following procedures:

- · We have reviewed the design and implementation of the controls in place in relation to property valuations;
- We have considered the work performed by the Council's valuer, including the adequacy of the scope of the work performed, their professional capabilities and the results of their work;
- We have engaged our valuation specialists to review and challenge the appropriateness of the assumptions used in the valuation of the Council's property assets;
- We sample tested key asset information used by the Council's valuers in performing their valuation, such as gross internal areas, back to supporting documentation;
- We have reviewed assets not subject to valuation in 2022/23 to confirm that the remaining asset base is not materially misstated;
- The property assets valued under the rolling valuation programme on 1 April 2022, have been updated to reflect the fair value as at 31 March 2023 to ensure these properties' valuations align to the most up to date information. We confirmed through inspection of updates to the valuation and the latest valuation report that there were no differences noted.
- For assets not revalued at 1 April 2022, we have performed an analysis of the indexation calculations applied to arrive at the valuation of property assets as at yearend to ensure the indexation adjustments were deemed reasonable; and

Valuation of property assets (continued)

Deloitte response and challenge (continued)

- Upon receipt of the 1st April 2023 valuation, the Council has updated the latest financials to reflect the fair value of properties to the most up to date information. This has resulted in an overall decrease of £2.5m in PPE. We have assessed the basis for this adjustment and considered it within the reasonable range for valuation purposes.
- We have reviewed the presentation of revaluation movements, and the disclosures included in the Statement of Accounts.

Conclusion

We are finalising our work on revaluation. We noted that the Cumberledge Centre value has been understated by £1.4m as at 31/03/2023 due to an incorrect valuation approach applied. Further details on this finding are set out on page 21.

Revenue expenditure incorrectly capitalised

Risk identified

As part of the Medium-Term Financial Strategy 2022/23 to 2026/27, the Council has a substantial capital programme of £176.9m over the next five years. The capital programme for 2022/23 had an actual spend of £52.1m.

Determining whether expenditure should be capitalised can involve judgement. There is also an incentive to inappropriately capitalise expenditure as the Council has greater flexibility over the use of revenue compared to capital resources. Given this incentive to capitalise costs that are not capital in nature, we specifically identified this area as a significant risk of material misstatement and a fraud risk.

Deloitte response and challenge

We have tested the design and implementation of controls around the capitalisation of costs.

We have selected a sample of additions in the year to test whether they have been appropriately capitalised in accordance with the accounting requirements. This sample included Assets Under Construction.

Conclusion

We have no matters to bring to the attention of the Audit Committee.

Management override of controls

Risk identified

Management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.

Although management is responsible for safeguarding the assets of the Council, we planned our audit so that we had a reasonable expectation of detecting material misstatements to the Statement of Accounts.

Deloitte response and challenge

We have considered the overall sensitivity of judgements made in preparation of the Statement of Accounts, and note that:

- The Council's results throughout the year were projecting overspends in operational areas. This was closely monitored and whilst projecting overspends, the underlying reasons were well understood; and
- Senior management's remuneration is not tied to particular financial results.

We have considered these factors and other potential sensitivities in evaluating the judgements made in the preparation of the financial statements.

Journals

- We have tested the design and implementation of controls in relation to journals.
- We have made inquiries of individuals involved in the financial reporting process about inappropriate or unusual activity relating to the processing of journal entries and other adjustments.
- We have used Spotlight data analytics tools to test a sample of journals, based upon identification of items of potential audit interest. Our analysis has covered all journals posted in the year.

Significant transactions

• We did not identify any significant transactions outside the normal course of business or any transactions where the business rationale was not clear.

Management override of controls (continued)

Deloitte response and challenge

Accounting estimates

- We have performed design and implementation testing of the controls over key accounting estimates and judgements.
- The key judgements in the financial statements are those selected as significant audit risks and other areas of audit interest as discussed elsewhere in this report.
- We reviewed accounting estimates for biases that could result in material misstatements due to fraud. We note that overall, the changes to estimates in the period were balanced and did not indicate a bias to achieve a particular result.
- We tested accounting estimates and judgements, focusing on the areas of greatest judgement and value. Our
 procedures included comparing amounts recorded or inputs to estimates to relevant supporting information from
 third party sources.

Conclusion

We have no matters to bring to the attention of the Audit Committee.

We have not identified any significant bias in the key judgements made by management, and we have not identified any instances of management override of controls in relation to the specific transactions tested as part of our audit.

Other areas of audit focus

Pension valuation

Risk identified

The Local Authority Accounting Code of Practice and IAS19 require the Council to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS).

The Council's pension fund deficit/gain is a material estimated balance and the Code requires that this liability/asset be disclosed on the Council's Balance Sheet. Per the financial statements at 31 March 2023, the asset totalled £122.5m (2021/22: £92.4m liability). As a result of this being an estimated balance there is a risk that inappropriate inputs and assumptions are used, which could result in the pension liability valuation being materially misstated.

Deloitte response and challenge

We are in the process of completing the following procedures:

- We obtained a copy of the actuarial report for the Council produced by Barnett Waddingham, the scheme actuary, and agreed the report to the Statement of Accounts pension disclosures.
- We reviewed the disclosures made in the Statement of Accounts against the requirements of the Code.
- We liaised with the audit team of Essex Pension Fund to obtain assurances over the information supplied to the actuary in relation to the Council.
- We assessed the independence and expertise of the actuary supporting the basis of reliance upon their work.
- We reviewed and challenged the assumptions made by Barnett Waddingham, including benchmarking as shown in the table on the following page through utilising our pension experts' team.
- We assessed the reasonableness of the Council's share of the total assets of the scheme with the Pension Fund financial statements.

Other areas of audit focus (continued)

Pension valuation (continued)

Review of assumptions used by actuary

As part of our testing, we reviewed the assumptions used by the actuary and have set out below our assessment of the assumptions used in the IAS19 valuation based on our specialist's preliminary report.

Assumption	Council	Benchmark	Deloitte Assessment
Discount rate (% p.a.)	4.80%	4.65-4.90%	
Salary increase (% p.a.) (over CPI inflation)	3.90%	Council specific, represents real salary increase of 1% above CPI	
Consumer Price Index (CPI) Inflation rate (% p.a.)	2.90%	2.70-2.95%	
		Assessi	ment key
		In reaso	nable range
		Towards	limit of reasonable range

13

Other areas of audit focus (continued)

Porters Place Southend-on-Sea LLP

Risk identified

We have noted a long-term debtor balance of £3.775m within the financial statements of the Council due to be received from Porters Place Southend-on-Sea LLP (hereafter referred to as Porters Place). Porters Place is one of the joint ventures in which the Council participates. It was a 30-year partnership with Swan Housing Association and their wholly owned subsidiary Swan BQ Limited, with the purpose to regenerate the Queensway Estate and surrounding environs. Over the last year Swan Housing Association have been in discussions with parties around a possible business combination. In February 2023 Swan joined Sanctuary housing as a subsidiary. During August 2023, we received an update on the Better Queensway scheme and noted that Sanctuary Housing Association are seeking to exit from the partnership and the Better Queensway scheme. An appropriate settlement agreement is under development that will cover the terms of Sanctuary's withdrawal. Through discussions with management and our knowledge obtained around the possible transaction, we concluded that there is a risk that balances due under the Porters Place agreement may not be recoverable.

It was however noted by management that they believe the Council to still be fully committed to the Better Queensway regeneration scheme and that the Council would be exploring alternative options to progress the scheme.

Deloitte response and challenge

We completed the following procedures:

- We inquired of management as to the latest update on the planned business combination and search for a new partner to understand the level of risk within the balances noted.
- We inspected documentation and information available to us to substantiate the amounts at risk as well as mitigations of the risk noted. The Council has included additional disclosure in this regard within note 5 of the Statement of Accounts.
- We inspected the Statement of Accounts and confirmed that the disclosures given were reasonable and in line with our expectation.
- We have added a representation within the management representation letter that will need to be signed by the Council at the signing date to confirm information obtained in relation to Porters Place and any developments have been considered for any impact on the financial statements and communicated to the audit team.

Recent update

Following the signing of the settlement agreement by all relevant parties, Swan BQ Limited exited as a member of Porters Place Southend-on-Sea LLP on 28 November 2023; the LLP repaid its loan of £3.775m to the Council on 16 February 2024.

Conclusion

We have nothing to bring to the Audit Committee's attention.

Value for money

Our conclusions are reported in our Auditor's Annual Report for 2022/23

Value for Money requirements

We are required to consider the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources. Under the revised requirements of the Code of Audit Practice 2020 and related Auditor Guidance Note 03 ('AGN03'), we are required to:

- Perform work to understand the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources against each of the three reporting criteria (financial sustainability, governance, and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness);
- Undertake a risk assessment to identify whether there are any risks of significant weaknesses in arrangements;
- If any risks of significant weaknesses are identified, perform procedures to determine whether there is in fact a significant weakness in arrangements, and if so to make recommendations for improvement;
- Issue a narrative commentary in the Auditor's Annual Report, setting out the work undertaken in respect of the reporting criteria and our findings, including any explanation needed in respect of judgements or local context for findings. If significant weaknesses are identified, the weaknesses and recommendations will be included in the reporting, together with follow-up of previous recommendations and whether they have been implemented. Where relevant, we may include reporting on any other matters arising we consider relevant to Value for Money arrangements, which might include emerging risks or issues arising; and
- Where significant weaknesses are identified, report this by exception within our financial statement audit opinion.

Work performed to obtain an understanding of the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

As part of our risk assessment, we have reviewed the summary of Value for Money arrangements prepared by the Council, reviewed supporting documentation on arrangements, and held follow-up interviews on areas where additional information was required.

In addition, we have:

- reviewed of the Council's draft Annual Governance Statement;
- reviewed internal audit reports through the year and the Head of Internal Audit Opinion
- considered issues identified through our other audit and assurance work; and
- considered the Council's financial performance and management throughout 2022/23.

Value for money

Our conclusions are reported in our Auditor's Annual Report for 2022/23 (continued)

Findings of our work

Our Value for Money work is ongoing and will be reported in full in our Auditor's Annual Report at the next Audit Committee meeting. As part of our planning work for Value for Money, areas identified as 'Risks of Significant Weakness in Arrangements' were as follows:

- Financial sustainability given the reported financial performance for the year, overspends in certain areas and sector wide challenges;
- Governance Leadership, governance and decision making were identified as areas for focus in the Corporate Peer Challenge in October 2022 and actions are ongoing to address areas of concern; and
- Governance The ongoing improvement actions to address findings from the last full inspection of Childrens Services in 2019 (which received a 'Requires Improvement' rating from OFSTED) and more recent focused visits.

We are currently finalising our work to conclude on whether any significant weaknesses in arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources existed for 2022/23.

Any significant weakness identified will also be reported by exception in our financial statement audit opinion.

High-level impact on our approach

ISA (UK) 315 requires we obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit. It is a matter of the auditor's professional judgment whether a control, individually or in combination with others, is relevant to the audit. We do not test those controls we do not consider relevant to the audit. Below we provide a view, based on our audit procedures, on the effectiveness of your system of internal control relevant to the audit risks that we have identified.

Your control environment

Your risk assessment process

Your information systems and communication

Your control activities

Your monitoring of controls

Area	Deloitte comment	Maturity CY / PY
Valuation of property assets	No deficiency was identified in the design and implementation of the controls in the process.	
Management override of controls	No deficiency was identified in the design and implementation of the controls in the process	
Capitalisation of expenditure	No deficiency was identified in the design and implementation of the controls in the process	

Key:



Mature



Developing



Lagging

Control observations

Area	Observation	Deloitte recommendation	Management response and remediation plan
Capital Grant	During our testing of grants, we noted that the process to identify amounts to be deferred by checking grants received against grant letters was not applied for the 'High Needs Provision' grant resulting in an inappropriate treatment of grant recognition. Per paragraph 2.3.1.2 of CIPFA – grant income should be recognised immediately unless conditions are not met. Corrected adjustment of £1.4m has been included on page 21.	We recommend management to assess grant conditions of each grant and recognise income per the applicable CIPFA guidance.	This has been noted for future years.
Asset – Disposals	As part of AUC testing, we noted that some assets were not recorded as disposals during the period relating to asset category of 'Vehicle, Plant, Furniture & Equipment' with total value of £289k.	Currently, the finance manager sends a list of all VPF&E assets to the budget holder at year-end to confirm whether or not an asset is still in use. We recommend management to implement a quarterly process with a completeness check at year end.	This finding has been noted. Error with disposal was an oversight.
Leases	During our testing of leases, we identified an issue where an existing lease was omitted from the lease disclosure calculation.	A lease register reconciliation should be performed against the lease agreement to ensure the completeness and accuracy of disclosures within financial statement.	This finding has been noted.

Control observations (continued)

Area	Observation	Deloitte recommendation	Management response and remediation plan
Bank reconciliation	Year end bank reconciliation data only contains transaction date per the bank statement and does not specify general ledger posting date. As such, it was not clear how transactions were true reconciling items.	The Authority should specify the posting date as per the general ledger to easily identify reconciling items.	The process for producing bank reconciliation working paper has been reviewed and updated in 2023/24.
Preparation of Valuation reports	Our real estate specialist team have identified areas for improvement to enhance reporting on the Councils valuation of assets: - The valuers do not appear to engage in detailed discussions with the Council with regard to Modern Equivalent Asset (MEA) assumptions relating to Specialised Operational properties.	These discussions should be undertaken each year to ensure that the assumed MEA, if different to the existing property, reflect the same service provision as the existing property and are capable of being constructed.	The Council's capital finance team will be part of any future instructions to the valuer regarding Specialised Operation properties. This will include the requirement for discussions with regards to MEA assumptions. This will be put in place from the 2023/24 valuation instructions.

Control observation & areas for management focus – Prior period audit

Area	Observation	Deloitte recommendation	Management response and remediation plan	Status
Infrastructure assets	During our infrastructure assets testing we noted that most capitalised costs to infrastructure assets are reflected as enhancements with limited additions. Given the aging of the initial assets that has been enhanced there is a risk that capital costs are incorrectly capitalised and depreciated as enhancements rather than additions. Although we have not noted a material error within the current year statement of accounts there is a risk that this can result in a material error in depreciation and subsequently the valuation of the assets in future years.	It was recommended that management confirms that amounts capitalised to infrastructure assets in future is accurately reflected as enhancements or additions. Supporting documentation needs to be retained in the instances where capital costs are reflected as enhancements rather than additions.	It was noted that this will be put in place for future year end closedowns, starting with the 2022/23 financial year.	Implemented
Grant	During our testing of the key controls in relation to covid-19 grants we have noted that the Council do not maintain a revenue grant register. We acknowledge that tracking of grants has been done by individual service lines, however without a central revenue grant register, the Council cannot monitor grants received; track expenditure against the amount awarded; and ensure any conditions have been met.	We recommended that management maintain a central revenue grant register – both for covid-19 and non-covid grants.	Management has agreed and have prepared a revenue grant register to be maintained centrally going forward.	Implemented

The purpose of the audit was for us to express an opinion on the financial statements. The audit included consideration of internal control relevant to the preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control. The matters being reported are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to you.

Audit adjustments

Under UK auditing standards (ISA (UK) 260) we are required to provide a summary of unadjusted audit differences (including disclosure misstatements) identified during the course of our audit, other than those which are 'clearly trivial' which are not reflected in the financial statements. In line with ISA (UK) 450 we request that you correct uncorrected misstatements. However, they will have no effect on the opinion in our auditor's report, individually or in aggregate. As mentioned earlier within the report, details of all adjustments greater than £455k are shown below:

		Debit/(credit) CIES	Debit/(credit) in net assets	Debit/(credit) reserves	Is control deficiency identified
Uncorrected audit differences		£m	£m	£m	
In relation to Cumberledge Centre asset, Valuer discounted the DRC value for 12 years, reducing the value to £0.573m which is considered incorrect and should be £1.956m, an understated asset of £1.383m. (Judgemental error-prior year)	[1]		1.383	(1.383)	No
In Note 2c of the group accounts, we note a difference of £500k on the prior year. On Beecroft Art Trust signed accounts for 2022/23, the income for year ending March 2022 was £981K and as per Southend Council statements comparative, the income for Beecroft Art Trust for year ending March 2022 was £1,481k. This is a prior period error of £500K. This will not have an impact on the current year balance. (Factual error- prior year)			(0.5)	0.5	No
Total			0.883	(0.883)	
Corrected misstatements					
Household support fund grant received from DWP in relation to the period October			(Creditor) 0.736		N.o.
to December 2022 recorded as receipt in advance resulted in overstatement of creditors. (Factual error)		_	(RIA) (0.736)		No
Allocated High Needs Capital grant of £4.770m partially recognised as an income of £3.356m and the remaining balance of £1.414m incorrectly treated as deferred income. (Projected error)		(1.414)	1.414		Yes
A debtor and receipt in advance recognised in relation to amounts due from the sale of two assets. The sale was completed in the subsequent year, therefore receivable and payables overstated by at yearend. (Factual error)			(2.616) 2.616		No
Correction of overstated amortisation charges on software licenses from period of FY13 to FY23. (Judgemental error)	[2]	(0.675)		0.675	Yes
Total		(2.089)	1.414	0.675	

Audit adjustments (continued)

Disclosure misstatements

- [1] Assuming that the service potential of the asset is a required function of the Council, it is not appropriate to defer the DRC value for the length of the existing lease as this would suggest that property is not providing a service at a valuation date.
- [2] Some of the software licenses when added to the register in FY13 were assigned UEL of 10 years. However, most licenses had a UEL for a period of one year and should have been fully amortised following year of acquisition. Management recalculated what amortisation charges should have been and we concluded amortisation was potentially understated in the years from FY13 to FY20 and overstated from FY21 to FY23. The overall amortisation charges would not change, and the differences were not material individually or cumulatively and therefore a correction made in FY23 for historical differences by charging 100% amortisation against remaining NBV of the assets.

The uncorrected misstatements are not material and do not have a material impact on the accounts

The following disclosure misstatements have been identified and corrected up to the date of this report.

Disclosure misstatements

1. Lease disclosure: One of the lease with an annual amount of £65k p.a. for ending in February 2028 was excluded from Note 33 of financial statements.

Our audit report

The form and content of our report

Here we discuss how the results of the audit impact on other significant sections of our audit report.



Our opinion on the financial statements

Our audit is nearing completion. We envisage issuing an unmodified audit opinion.



Emphasis of matter and other matter paragraphs

To date, there are no matters we judge to be of fundamental importance in the financial statements that we consider it necessary to draw attention to in an emphasis of matter paragraph.

There are no matters relevant to users' understanding of the audit that we consider necessary to communicate in an other matter paragraph.



Value for Money reporting by exception

Our opinion will note that our Value for Money work is completed and will be reported in our Auditor's Annual Report.

We will report any significant weaknesses by exception in our financial statement audit opinion.



Irregularities and fraud

We will explain the extent to which we considered the audit to be capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud.

In doing so, we will describe the procedures we performed in understanding the legal and regulatory framework and assessing compliance with relevant laws and regulations. We will discuss the areas identified where fraud may occur and any identified key audit matters relating to fraud.

Recent changes to ISAs (UK) mean this requirement will apply to **all** entities for periods commencing on or after 15 December 2019.

Your annual report

We are required to report by exception on any issues identified in respect of the Annual Governance Statement.

	Requirement	Deloitte response
Narrative Report	The Narrative Report is expected to address:	We have assessed whether the Narrative Report has been prepared in accordance with CIPFA guidance.
	 Organisational overview and external environment; 	annual accounts and our knowledge acquired during the course of
	 Governance; 	performing the audit and is not otherwise misleading.
	 Operational Model; 	
	 Risks and opportunities; 	
	 Strategy and resource allocation; 	
	 Performance; 	
	 Outlook; and 	
	 Basis of preparation 	
Annual Governance Statement	reports that governance arrangements	We have assessed whether the information given in the Annual Governance Statement meets the disclosure requirements set out in CIPFA/SOLACE guidance, is misleading, or is inconsistent with other information from our audit. No issues were noted from our review.

Purpose of our report and responsibility statement

Our report is designed to help you meet your governance duties

What we report

Our report is designed to help the Audit Committee and the Council discharge their governance duties. It also represents one way in which we fulfil our obligations under ISA (UK) 260 to communicate with you regarding your oversight of the financial reporting process and your governance requirements. Our report includes:

- Results of our work on key audit judgements and our observations on the quality of your Annual Report.
- · Our internal control observations.
- Other insights we have identified from our audit.

The scope of our work

Our observations are developed in the context of our audit of the financial statements.

We described the scope of our work in our audit plan.

Use of this report

This report has been prepared for the Council, as a body, and we therefore accept responsibility to you alone for its contents. We accept no duty, responsibility or liability to any other parties, since this report has not been prepared, and is not intended, for any other purpose.

What we don't report

As you will be aware, our audit was not designed to identify all matters that may be relevant to the Audit Committee.

Also, there will be further information you need to discharge your governance responsibilities, such as matters reported on by management or by other specialist advisers.

Finally, our views on internal controls and business risk assessment should not be taken as comprehensive or as an opinion on effectiveness since they have been based solely on the audit procedures performed in the audit of the financial statements and work under the Code of Audit Practice in respect of Value for Money arrangements.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss our report with you and receive your feedback.

Deloitte LLP Birmingham |16 April 2024



Independence and fees

As part of our obligations under International Standards on Auditing (UK), we are required to report to you on the matters listed below:

Independence confirmation	We confirm the audit engagement team, and others in the firm as appropriate, Deloitte LLP and, where applicable, all Deloitte network firms are independent of the Council.		
Fees	There are no non-audit fees.		
Non-audit services	We continue to review our independence and ensure that appropriate safeguards are in place including, but not limited to, the rotation of senior partners and professional staff and the involvement of additional partners and professional staff to carry out reviews of the work performed and to otherwise advise as necessary.		
Relationships	We have no other relationships with the Authority, its members, officers and affiliates, and have not supplied any services to other known connected parties.		

The professional fees expected to be charged by Deloitte, as per our Audit Plan for the period from 01 April 2022 to 31 March 2023 are as follows:

	2022/23	2021/22
	£	£
Financial statement audit [1]	109,968	109,968
Additional fees – previously proposed [2]	54,037	54,037
Additional fee following completion [3]	TBC	65,743
Total audit fees	ТВС	229,748

- [1] The fee reflected here is the scale fee.
- [2] Additional fees proposed (letter 31 July 2020) to reflect increased costs for the Authority's audit, change in scope for Value for Money, Impact of Covid-19.
- [3] 2021/22 Following completion of our audit, additional input has been required in a range of areas including Pension valuation, PPE valuation and Infrastructure work, VFM, Quality preparation challenges, ISA540, Covid-19 impact, increased FRC challenge, litigation & fraud inquiries. 2022/23 Additional input will be confirmed following completion of the audit.

In line with PSAA correspondence that scale fees should be negotiated by individual s151 officers based on the individual circumstances of each body, we will discuss the final position with the Council on completion of the 2022/23 audit.

All additional fees are subject to agreement with PSAA.

Our other responsibilities explained

Fraud responsibilities and representations



Responsibilities:

The primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud rests with management and those charged with governance, including establishing and maintaining internal controls over the reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. As auditors, we obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error.

Required representations:

We have asked the Council to confirm in writing that you have disclosed to us the results of your own assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud and that you have disclosed to us all information in relation to fraud or suspected fraud that you are aware of and that affects the Council.

We have also asked the Council to confirm in writing their responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud and error.



Audit work performed:

In our planning, we identified the risk of fraud in the accounting for revenue expenditure incorrectly capitalised and management override of controls as a significant audit risk.

During course of our audit, we have had discussions with management and those charged with governance including the Head of Internal Audit.

In addition, we have reviewed management's own documented procedures regarding fraud and error in the financial statements.

We have reviewed the paper prepared by management for the on the process for identifying, evaluating and managing the system of internal financial control.

Concerns:

No significant concerns have been identified from our work

Deloitte.

This document is confidential and it is not to be copied or made available to any other party. Deloitte LLP does not accept any liability for use of or reliance on the contents of this document by any person save by the intended recipient(s) to the extent agreed in a Deloitte LLP engagement contract.

Deloitte LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC303675 and its registered office at 1 New Street Square, London, EC4A 3HQ, United Kingdom.

Deloitte LLP is the United Kingdom affiliate of Deloitte NSE LLP, a member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"). DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL and Deloitte NSE LLP do not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about to learn more about our global network of member firms.

© 2024 Deloitte LLP. All rights reserved.